

Stewards' Inquiries

At NGRC Stewards' Inquiries held at the NGRC offices on 13 November 2008:

Wimbledon Stadium – ASTRAL BOUND - Professional trainer Miss M Locke

Professional trainer Miss Maxine Locke was found in breach of rules 152(i), 174(i)(b) and 217 in that a urine sample taken from the greyhound ASTRAL BOUND at Wimbledon Stadium on 5 August 2008, was analysed by HFL Sports Science laboratory as containing substances consistent with being metabolites of the drug stanozolol.

Miss Locke was in attendance and having considered her explanation together with the circumstances of the case, the Stewards ordered that she be reprimanded and fined the sum of £500.



Wimbledon Stadium – CARLSBERG FLASH – Greyhound trainer Mrs E McNair

Greyhound trainer Mrs Elizabeth McNair was found in breach of rules 49(iv), 152(i) & (ii), 174(i)(a) and 217 in that a urine sample taken from the greyhound CARLSBERG FLASH at Wimbledon Stadium on 5 August 2008, was analysed by HFL Sports Science laboratory as containing substances consistent with being metabolites of the drug stanozolol; the administration of any substance that could affect the performance and/or well being of the greyhound; and not using her best endeavours to ensure that the performance of the greyhound was to the satisfaction of the Stewards.

Mrs McNair was in attendance and having considered her explanation together with the circumstances of the case, the Stewards ordered that she be severely reprimanded and fined the sum of £600.



Sittingbourne Stadium – CLOVER ROYALL – Greyhound trainer Mrs E McNair

Greyhound trainer Mrs Elizabeth McNair was found in breach of rules 49(iv), 152(i) & (ii), 174(i)(a) and 217 in that a urine sample taken from the greyhound CLOVER ROYALL at Sittingbourne Stadium on 17 August 2008, was analysed by HFL Sports Science laboratory as containing substances consistent with being metabolites of the drug stanozolol; the administration of any substance that could affect the performance and/or well being of the greyhound; and not using her best endeavours to ensure that the performance of the greyhound was to the satisfaction of the Stewards.

Mrs McNair was in attendance and having considered her explanation together with the circumstances of the case, the Stewards ordered that she be severely reprimanded and fined the sum of £600.



Shawfield Stadium – Professional trainer Mr M Reynolds

Professional trainer Mr Michael Reynolds was found in breach of rules 49 and 152(i) & (ii) in that he allowed unlicensed persons to handle greyhounds at NGRC licensed kennels on 2 October 2008.

Mr Reynolds was in attendance and having considered his explanation together with exceptional mitigating circumstances, the Stewards ordered that he be cautioned as to the future licensing of his staff.

Cont'd../

Stewards' Inquiries

Doncaster Stadium – Greyhound trainer Mrs B J White

Greyhound trainer Mrs Beverly White was found in breach of rules 49, 69A, 152(i) & (ii) and 174(xiii) in that she allowed unlicensed persons to handle greyhounds at NGRC licensed kennels on 3 October 2008; and the circumstances of the withdrawal of the greyhounds TAKING LIBERTIES, CLONGLEIGH PAKIE, NUSHA and CATS EYES from races and a trial at Doncaster Stadium on 3 & 4 October, as a result of the greyhounds being kept at unlicensed kennels.

Mrs White was not in attendance. Having considered her written explanation together with the circumstances of the case and the disruption caused to the racecard, the Stewards ordered that she be severely reprimanded and fined the sum of £750.



Wimbledon Stadium – YAK YAK JOE – Professional trainer Mr A Peacock & assistant trainer Mr A Peacock

An inquiry into the circumstances of the positive analysis of a urine sample taken from the greyhound YAK YAK JOE at Wimbledon Stadium on 16 August 2008 was adjourned at the request of professional trainer Mr Andrew Peacock and assistant trainer Mr Alan Peacock.



ENDS

Note to Editors

Rule 174 - Persons liable to disciplinary action

The NGRC Stewards shall have power at any Inquiry to make such order as is contained in Rule 160(vi) if they are satisfied that such person

i

- a has administered or attempted to administer or has allowed or caused to be administered or connived at or procured the administration to a Greyhound of any quantity of any substance which by its nature could affect the performance of the Greyhound or could prejudice the well being of a Greyhound; or
- b has had in his/her charge a Greyhound which showed the presence on or in its tissues, body fluids or excreta of any quantity of any substance which by its nature could affect the performance of the Greyhound or could prejudice the well being of the Greyhound.